03. Sitzung IFRS-FA vom 16.03.2012 03 05b IFRS-FA FI-HA FR2



European Financial Reporting Advisory Group

Confidentiality: All of the information that you provide to ANC, ASB, ASCG, OIC and EFRAG in response to this questionnaire and during the meetings will remain confidential. Information used in the effects report will be presented in such a way that no individual company and person will be able to be identified. The IASB will also participate and have access to the information.

This Questionnaire has been prepared by the ANC, ASB, ASCG, OIC and EFRAG staff.

Introduction

In [March 2012], the IASB published the Review Draft *Hedge Accounting*. The Review Draft introduces significant changes to the current general hedge accounting requirements. The IASB's work plan foresees the issuance of the final standard in the second half of 2012.

Field-testing objectives

The purpose of the field-test is to:

- identify fatal flaws, if any, in the Review Draft
- test the operationality of proposals by applying them to a sample of actual instruments and transactions so as to identify difficulties or limitations in the implementation and application;
- assess the quality and effects of the future accounting standard
- assess the usefulness of the hedge accounting results from applying the proposals; and
- estimate the effort required to implement and apply the proposals.

The input obtained will be used as input to the drafting of any EFRAG draft endorsement advice on general hedge accounting, including the costs and benefits analysis.

Outline of the questionnaire

- Part 1: General questions as regards the company
- Part 2: Questions pertaining to the nature of the risks and to the risk management
- Part 3: Assessing the quality and effects of the future accounting standard
- Part 4: Assessing the costs of the proposed accounting standard

What you are asked to do

What is field-testing?

Field-testing involves testing of the proposals by applying them to actual transactions. You will be asked to select a number of hedges, apply the full set of proposals to them and to report any issues that you experience. The time required to perform the tests and document the results will depend on the nature and complexity of your operations.

When will it be conducted?

- 2 Below is a provisional timetable for the field-testing. The timetable will be finalised once the Review Draft is published by the IASB.
 - Planning:
 - Selection of participants by XXXX 2012
 - Instructions to participants by XXXX 2012
 - Testing by participants: six weeks after the publication of the Review Draft.
 - Feedback report: XXXX 2012 (to be confirmed after the publication of the Review Draft).

What to do?

- 3 Select hedges for testing The objective is to test different types of hedges and find out whether the proposed requirements will cause challenges and impact the financial position and performance. The purpose is not to select many similar contracts but contracts that could be affected differently by the proposals of the Review Draft.
- The time constrains should be taken into account in making the selection to ensure that it is feasible to test the contracts selected.
- If you have any questions about the selection of hedge for testing, please contact:

| ANC | Damien Chartron | - | damien.chartron@anc.gouv.fr |
|-------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------------------|
| ASB | Deepa Raval | _ | d.raval@frc-asb.org.uk |
| OIC | Tommaso Fabi | _ | tfabi@fondazioneoic.it |
| ASCG | Peter Zimniok | _ | zimniok@drsc.de |
| EFRAG | Anna Vidal | +32-2-2104400 | anna.vidal@efrag.org |

- Inform your contact of the selected sample. This could be done as a summary of key terms of each hedge (note: the identity of the counterparty, the amounts involved and other confidential details are generally not relevant for the field-testing; therefore, there is no need to include this information).
- 7 Read the Review Draft on general hedge accounting once it is published.

- Apply the proposals to each hedge selected (and different scenarios if relevant), based on the assumption that you would need to apply the requirements of IFRS 9 to all other aspects of financial instruments accounting.
- The Review Draft may require using information currently not collected or documented. In these cases, you should make assumptions, document their basis, and carry out the test on the basis of these assumptions. The proposals may require some information that you have not previously collected. It may, therefore, be necessary for you to make some estimates when assessing the impact. In such cases, the basis for your estimates should be documented. In other cases, you may not find the proposals clear. If this is the case, please describe how you have interpreted the proposals when assessing the impact.
- In addition, when the requirements of the Review Draft are considered to be unclear, this fact should be noted and the interpretation applied in carrying out the test should be documented and duly communicated via the questionnaire.
- 11 Please prepare yourself also to document your findings in respect of the following:
 - (a) Any difficulties experienced in applying the proposals;
 - (b) Expected impact of the new requirements on the financial position and performance. In many cases it may be challenging to specify the exact quantitative impact of the various requirements. However, describing the direction of the impact of the proposals on the financial position and performance could be feasible and therefore should be done; and
 - (c) Expected effort (cost) needed to implement the changes.
- 12 Document your findings in the questionnaire.
- The results of the field-testing will be discussed by representatives of the National Standard Setters, IASB staff and EFRAG.

Questionnaire

PART 1: GENERAL QUESTIONS AND CONTACT INFORMATION

Please provide the following details about your company:

| Q1. | Short description of your activity/industry: |
|-----|--|
| | Name of listed group with IFRS consolidated financial statements Size of the company: total balance sheet, total sales, number of employees Main activities carried out by the company |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Q2. | Country where you are located: |
| | |
| Q3. | Contact details including e-mail address: |
| | |
| | |

PART 2: QUESTIONS ON THE NATURE OF THE RISKS AND RISK MANAGEMENT

| Q4. | exposed (e.g. interest rate risk, foreign currency risk, commodity price risk credit risk or prepayment risk). |
|-----|---|
| Q5. | Do you currently apply hedge accounting? If so, could you please describe: • the types of hedge accounting that you apply; • the risks being hedged; |
| | the hedging instruments used; and the relative importance (e.g. volume or amount) of these hedges. |
| Q6. | Are there any economic hedges to which you do not apply hedge accounting under the current hedge accounting requirements? If so, what is the reason? |
| Q7. | Based on your findings in this field-test of the new hedge accounting requirements, do you expect to apply hedge accounting in more situations than is currently the case? Why? |
| | |

PART 3: Assessing the quality and effects of the proposed accounting standard

| General | |
|---------|--|
| Q8. | Based on the results of your analysis, please indicate the main benefits of the new standard for you: |
| Q9. | Do the requirements in the Review Draft meet your hedge accounting needs (i.e. allow you to apply hedge accounting in a more meaningful manner)? If not, please explain why? |
| | |
| Q10. | Are you able to closer align your hedge accounting to your internal risk management under the requirements in the Review Draft? If not, please explain why? |
| | |
| Q11. | Are the Basis for Conclusions helpful to you in understanding the requirements of the Review Draft? If not, please explain why? |
| | |
| | |
| | edged items (Review Draft eligible hedged items requirements in s (X) to (Y) |
| | of the main changes and requirements regarding eligible hedged items, be taken from the introductory section of the Review Draft] |
| Q12. | Did you understand the requirements in the Review Draft regarding eligible hedged items? If not, please explain. |
| | |

Q13. Do you have economic hedges for any of the following types of exposures? Could you apply hedge accounting to these economic hedges under the requirements of the Review Draft?

| | | nomic dge | Нес ассоц | • |
|--|------------|---|--------------|-----------|
| Type of exposure | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| Equity investments measured at fair value through other comprehensive income | | | | |
| Risks not affecting profit or loss | | | | |
| Derivatives and aggregated exposures | | | | |
| Risk components: | | | | |
| Separately identifiable, reliably measurable risk component | | | | |
| Layer components | | | | |
| Inflation risk | | | | |
| Sub-Libor | | | | |
| Group of items as the hedged item | | | | |
| Group of items: Nil net position | | | | |
| Q14. To the extent that there were there any export apply hedge accounting under the Reson eligible hedged items; what was the understand the extent of the eligible hedged items? If so, could you encounter operational issues where the extent of the eligible hedged items? If so, could you | eview Dra | off because for the cause for | se of the | guidance |
| Q16. What are the main impacts of the guidar you identify eligible hedged items? | nce in the | e Review | Draft or | n the way |

Eligble hedging instruments (Review Draft eligible hedging instrument requirements in paragraphs (X) to (Y)

[Description of the main changes and requirements regarding eligible hedging instruments, which is to be taken from the introductory section of the Review Draft]

| | Did you understand the requirements in nedging instruments? If not, please explai | | ew Draft | regardin | g eligible |
|----------------|--|-------------|-------------|--------------|------------|
| _ | | |) | | |
| ł | Do you have economic hedges in which y nedging instruments? Could you apply he nedges under the requirements of the Rev | edge acc | ounting | | |
| | | Econ hed | omic dge | Hed accou | • |
| | Type of hedging instrument | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| Parti | ally designated hedging instruments | | | | |
| Cash or los | n instruments at fair value through profit | | | | |
| Instru | uments designated under the fair value | | | | |
| | e value of option (with transaction- or period-related hedged item) | | | | |
| Com | bination of options | | | | |
| Forw | vard points and funding swap | | | | |
| r | Γο the extent that there were there any education of apply hedge accounting under the Reson eligible hedging instruments; what was | view Dra | ft becau | se of the | guidance |
| | Did you encounter operational issues who eligible hedging instruments? If so, cou | | | | uirements |

| Q21. | What are the main impacts of the guidance in the Review Draft on the way you identify eligible hedging instruments? |
|------------------|--|
| _ | ectiveness (Review Draft hedge effectiveness requirements in s (X) to (Y) |
| | n of the main changes and requirements regarding hedge effectiveness, be taken from the introductory section of the Review Draft] |
| Q22. | Did you understand the requirements in the Review Draft regarding hedge effectiveness? If not, please explain. |
| | |
| Q23. | Regarding the hedge ratio, what are your findings when applying the requirements? |
| | |
| Q24. | Did you encounter operational issues when applying the new requirements regarding hedge effectiveness? If so, could you please explain? |
| | |
| Q25. | What are the main impacts of the guidance in the Review Draft regarding hedge ineffectiveness? |
| | |
| Rebalanci (Y) | ng and discontinuation (Review Draft requirements in paragraphs (X) to |
| | n of the main changes and requirements regarding rebalancing and ation of hedge relatioships, which is to be taken from the introductory section ew Draft] |
| Rebalanci | ng of hedge relationships |
| | Did you understand the requirements in the Review Draft regarding rebalancing of hedge relatioships? If not, please explain. |
| | |

| | Q27. | Do the rebalancing requirements allow you to maintain hedging relationships that would need to be discontinued under the existing hedge requirements? |
|-------|-------|---|
| | Q28. | Did you encounter operational issues when applying the requirements regarding rebalancing of hedge relatioships? If so, could you please explain? |
| | Q29. | What are the main impacts of the guidance in the Review Draft regarding rebalancing of hedge relatioships? |
| Disco | ntinı | uation of hedge relationships |
| | | Did you understand the requirements in the Review Draft regarding discontinuation of hedge relatioships? If not, please explain. |
| | Q31. | Did you encounter operational issues when applying the requirements regarding discontinuation of hedge relatioships? If so, could you please explain? |
| | Q32. | What are the main impacts of the guidance in the Review Draft regarding discontinuation of hedge relatioships? |
| Hedgi | ing o | f credit risk (Review Draft requirements in paragraphs (X) to (Y) |
| | | n of the main changes and requirements regarding hedges of credit risk, be taken from the introductory section of the Review Draft] |
| | Q33. | Do you currently hedge credit risk? |
| | | |

| Q34. | Did you understand the requirements in the Review Draft regarding hedges of credit risk? If not, please explain. |
|-------------|--|
| Q35. | Did you encounter operational issues when applying the requirements for hedges of credit risk? If so, could you please explain? |
| Q36. | What are the main impacts of the guidance in the Review Draft regarding hedges of credit risk? |
| | |
| Hedging d | isclosures |
| | n of the main changes and requirements regarding hedging disclosures, be taken from the introductory section of the Review Draft] |
| Q37. | Did you understand the disclosure requirements in the Review Draft? If not, please explain. |
| | |
| | |
| Q38. | Did you experience any difficulties in collecting the information needed to meet the disclosure requirements? |
| | |
| Q39. | Do you collect information similar to that required by the Review Draft for other purposes (e.g. management reporting or regulatory purposes)? |
| | |
| Effective o | late and transition |

[Description of the effective date and transitional provisions, which is to be taken from the introductory section of the Review Draft]

| Q40. | Do you have any comments or concerns regarding the transitional provisions included in the Review Draft? |
|------------|--|
| Overall as | |
| Q41. | How would you rate the overall operational difficulty of applying the new requirements to the sample that you have tested? |
| | Operational difficulty |
| - | High Moderate Low |
| <u>-</u> | Eligible hedged items |
| - | Hedging instruments |
| <u>-</u> | Credit risk |
| <u>-</u> | Hedge effectiveness |
| _ | Rebalancing |
| _ | Discontinuation |
| | Hedging disclosures |
| | If you indicated 'high', please explain. |
| | |
| Q42. | Does the Review Draft given rise to any other issues that you would like to comment upon? |

PART 4: ASSESSING THE COSTS OF THE PROPOSED ACCOUNTING STANDARD

We would appreciate that you can make an estimate of the expected impacts of the new requirements on the financial position and performance of your company, and the effort (in terms of associated costs) needed to implement the changes. The following section is intended to assess the incremental one-off and on-going costs of implementing the new requirements. Examples of costs include, but are not limited to, training of employees, changes to accounting and reporting systems, changes to existing and implementation of new processes, audit and valuation services fees etc.

Q43. Based on your experience during this field-test, do you agree with the cost/benefit analysis as included in paragraphs X toY in the Review Draft?

| | Туре | Estimated costs |
|-------|---|-------------------------------------|
| One- | off costs | |
| [] | | |
| [] | | |
| On-g | noing costs | |
| | | |
| П | | |
| 45. E | Do you have any other comments applying the new requirements? | s regarding the cost of implementin |