DRSC e. V. • Zimmerstr. 30 • 10969 Berlin

Telefon Telefax E-Mail +49 (0)30 206412-12

fax +49 (0)30 206412-15

E-Mail info@drsc.de

Berlin, 11 July 2013

EFRAG Françoise Flores 35 Square de Meeûs B-1000 Brussels Belgique

Dear Françoise,

EFRAG's DRAFT ENDORSEMENT ADVICE AND EFFECTS STUDY REPORT ON Amendments to IAS 39 - Novation of Derivatives and Continuation of Hedge Accounting

On behalf of the German IFRS Committee I am writing to comment on EFRAG's Assessment of the Novation of Derivatives and Continuation of Hedge Accounting.

We agree with the views set out in the assessment. As a national standard-setter we are not in a position to answer the questions regarding the costs that will arise for preparers and for users to implement the amendment. We therefore sent your assessment-form to the DAX30 entities and got feedback from two companies, which indicated that they agree to the assessment made by EFRAG.

As attachments to this letter you will find our comments to the above mentioned assessment as well as those received from the DAX30 entities.

If you have any further questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours sincerely,

Liesel Knorr

President



DRAFT ENDORSEMENT ADVICE AND EFFECTS STUDY REPORT ON Amendments to IAS 39 - Novation of Derivatives and Continuation of Hedge Accounting

INVITATION TO COMMENT ON EFRAG'S ASSESSMENTS

Comments should be sent to commentletters@efrag.org by 11 July 2013

EFRAG has been asked by the European Commission to provide it with advice and supporting material on the *Amendments to IAS 39 Novation of Derivatives and Continuation of Hedge Accounting* ('Amendments to IAS 39'). In order to do that, EFRAG has been carrying out an assessment of the Amendments to IAS 39 against the technical criteria for endorsement set out in Regulation (EC) No 1606/2002 and has also been assessing the costs and benefits that would arise from its implementation in the European Union (the EU) and European Economic Area.

A summary of the Amendments to IAS 39 is set out in Appendix 1.

Before finalising its two assessments, EFRAG would welcome your views on the issues set out below. Please note that all responses received will be placed on the public record, unless the respondent requests confidentiality. In the interest of transparency EFRAG will wish to discuss the responses it receives in a public meeting, so we would prefer to be able to publish all the responses received.

EFRAG initial assessments summarised in this questionnaire will be amended to reflect EFRAG's decisions on Appendix 2 and 3.

1	Plea	ase provide the following details about yourself:
	(a)	Your name or, if you are responding on behalf of an organisation or company, its name:
		Accounting Standards Committee of Germany (ASCG)
	(b)	Are you a:
		☐ Preparer ☐ User ☒ Other (please specify)
		National Standard Setter

Please provide a short description of your activity:

(c)

nical criteria for endorsement. In other words, they are not contrary to the iple of true and fair view and they meet the criteria of understandability,
Liesel Knorr – c/o DRSC e.V. Zimmerstr. 30; 10969 Berlin knorr@drsc.de AG's initial assessment of the Amendments to IAS 39 is that they meet the nical criteria for endorsement. In other words, they are not contrary to the iple of true and fair view and they meet the criteria of understandability, ance, reliability and comparability. EFRAG's reasoning is set out in endix 2. Do you agree with this assessment?
Zimmerstr. 30; 10969 Berlin knorr@drsc.de AG's initial assessment of the Amendments to IAS 39 is that they meet the nical criteria for endorsement. In other words, they are not contrary to the iple of true and fair view and they meet the criteria of understandability, ance, reliability and comparability. EFRAG's reasoning is set out in endix 2. Do you agree with this assessment?
knorr@drsc.de AG's initial assessment of the Amendments to IAS 39 is that they meet the nical criteria for endorsement. In other words, they are not contrary to the iple of true and fair view and they meet the criteria of understandability, ance, reliability and comparability. EFRAG's reasoning is set out in endix 2. Do you agree with this assessment?
AG's initial assessment of the Amendments to IAS 39 is that they meet the nical criteria for endorsement. In other words, they are not contrary to the iple of true and fair view and they meet the criteria of understandability, ance, reliability and comparability. EFRAG's reasoning is set out in endix 2. Do you agree with this assessment?
nical criteria for endorsement. In other words, they are not contrary to the iple of true and fair view and they meet the criteria of understandability, ance, reliability and comparability. EFRAG's reasoning is set out in endix 2. Do you agree with this assessment?
▼ Yes
If you do not, please explain why you do not agree and what you believe the implications of this should be for EFRAG's endorsement advice.
Are there any issues that are not mentioned in Appendix 2 that you believe EFRAG should take into account in its technical evaluation of the Amendments to IAS 39? If there are, what are those issues and why do you believe they are relevant to the evaluation? None.

3 EFRAG is also assessing the costs that are likely to arise for preparers and for users on implementation of the Amendments to IAS 39 in the EU, both in year one and in subsequent years. Some initial work has been carried out, and the responses to this Invitation to Comment will be used to complete the assessment.

The results of the initial assessment of costs are set out in paragraphs 5 to 7 of Appendix 3. To summarise, EFRAG's initial assessment is that the Amendments to IAS 39 will not result in increased costs to users, i.e., it is likely to be cost neutral.

Do you agree with this assessment?

Invitation to Comment on EFRAG's Initial Assessments ☐ Yes □ No If you do not, please explain why you do not and (if possible) explain broadly what you believe the costs involved will be? We as a National Standard Setter are not in a position to comment on this issue. Both our constituents responding to this survey agree with EFRAG's assessment. 4 In addition, EFRAG is assessing the benefits that are likely to be derived from the Amendments to IAS 39. The results of the initial assessment of benefits are set out in paragraphs 2 to 4 of Appendix 3. To summarise, EFRAG's initial assessment is that the overall benefits of not derecognising the hedge accounting relationships are likely to outweigh costs associated with providing this information to users. Do you agree with this assessment? Yes □ No If you do not agree with this assessment, please provide your arguments and indicate how this should affect EFRAG's endorsement advice? We as a national standard setter are not in a position to comment on this issue. Both our constituents responding to this survey agree with EFRAG's assessment. 5 EFRAG's initial assessment is that the benefits to be derived from implementing the Amendments to IAS 39 in the EU as described in paragraph 4 above are likely to outweigh the costs involved as described in paragraph 3 above. Do you agree with this assessment? ☐ Yes □No If you do not agree with this assessment, please provide your arguments and indicate how this should affect EFRAG's endorsement advice? We as a national standard setter are not in a position to comment on this issue. Both our constituents responding to this survey agree with EFRAG's assessment. 6 EFRAG is not aware of any other factors that should be taken into account in reaching a decision as to what endorsement advice it should give the European Commission on the Amendments to IAS 39. Do you agree that there are no other factors? x Yes □No

Novation of Derivatives and Continuation of Hedge Accounting –

•	u do not t EFRAG	•	•	•	arguments	and	indicate	how	this	should



DRAFT ENDORSEMENT ADVICE AND EFFECTS STUDY REPORT ON Amendments to IAS 39 - Novation of Derivatives and Continuation of Hedge Accounting

INVITATION TO COMMENT ON EFRAG'S ASSESSMENTS

Comments should be sent to commentletters@efrag.org by 11 July 2013

EFRAG has been asked by the European Commission to provide it with advice and supporting material on the *Amendments to IAS 39 Novation of Derivatives and Continuation of Hedge Accounting* ('Amendments to IAS 39'). In order to do that, EFRAG has been carrying out an assessment of the Amendments to IAS 39 against the technical criteria for endorsement set out in Regulation (EC) No 1606/2002 and has also been assessing the costs and benefits that would arise from its implementation in the European Union (the EU) and European Economic Area.

A summary of the Amendments to IAS 39 is set out in Appendix 1.

1

Before finalising its two assessments, EFRAG would welcome your views on the issues set out below. Please note that all responses received will be placed on the public record, unless the respondent requests confidentiality. In the interest of transparency EFRAG will wish to discuss the responses it receives in a public meeting, so we would prefer to be able to publish all the responses received.

EFRAG initial assessments summarised in this questionnaire will be amended to reflect EFRAG's decisions on Appendix 2 and 3.

(a)	Your name or, if you are responding on behalf of an organisation or company its name:
	Inde AG
(b)	Are you a:
	☑ Preparer ☐ User ☐ Other (please specify)
(c)	Please provide a short description of your activity:

	Corporate entity in the lectors industrial gases
	and engineering
(d)	Country where you are located:
	Germany
(e)	Contact details including e-mail address: Andreas Andreas Noon: ++49 89 35454 1594 Condelas South (2) Linde Com FRAG's initial assessment of the Amendments to IAS 39 is that they me exhinical criteria for endorsement. In other words, they are not contrary frinciple of true and fair view and they meet the criteria of understands elevance, reliability and comparability. EFRAG's reasoning is set of ppendix 2. (a) Do you agree with this assessment? (b) Yes
(d) Country where you are located: Gentlemany	
	Phone: ++49 89 35757 1594
	andreas. schate @ linde. com
tech prind rele	nical criteria for endorsement. In other words, they are not contrary to the ciple of true and fair view and they meet the criteria of understandability, vance, reliability and comparability. EFRAG's reasoning is set out in
(a)	Do you agree with this assessment?
	∑ Yes
	If you do not, please explain why you do not agree and what you believe the implications of this should be for EFRAG's endorsement advice.
(b)	Are there any issues that are not mentioned in Appendix 2 that you believe EFRAG should take into account in its technical evaluation of the Amendments to IAS 39? If there are, what are those issues and why do you believe they are relevant to the evaluation?
	<u>N/A</u>
user and	s on implementation of the Amendments to IAS 39 in the EU, both in year one in subsequent years. Some initial work has been carried out, and the
App	results of the initial assessment of costs are set out in paragraphs 5 to 7 of endix 3. To summarise, EFRAG's initial assessment is that the Amendments to 39 will not result in increased costs to users, i.e., it is likely to be cost neutral.

Do you agree with this assessment?

	lease explain why you do not and (if possible) explain broadly what costs involved will be?
you believe the	Costs involved will be:
•	
Amendments to in paragraphs 2 that the overall	RAG is assessing the benefits that are likely to be derived from the IAS 39. The results of the initial assessment of benefits are set out to 4 of Appendix 3. To summarise, EFRAG's initial assessment is benefits of not derecognising the hedge accounting relationships are h costs associated with providing this information to users.
Do you agree w	ith this assessment?
⊠ Yes	□No
•	_
	agree with this assessment, please provide your arguments and s should affect EFRAG's endorsement advice?
maioaio mon im	, official affect of the organization and the second
Amendments to	assessment is that the benefits to be derived from implementing the IAS 39 in the EU as described in paragraph 4 above are likely to sts involved as described in paragraph 3 above.
Do you agree w	ith this assessment?
∑ Yes	□ No
•	
	gree with this assessment, please provide your arguments and s should affect EFRAG's endorsement advice?
	Sindula direct El 144 e e chachesinent davise i
,	
reaching a dec	aware of any other factors that should be taken into account in sion as to what endorsement advice it should give the European the Amendments to IAS 39.
Do you agree th	at there are no other factors?
⊠Yes	□No

o not agree FRAG's end		arguments	and indi	cate how	this	should



DRAFT ENDORSEMENT ADVICE AND EFFECTS STUDY REPORT ON Amendments to IAS 39 - Novation of Derivatives and Continuation of Hedge Accounting

INVITATION TO COMMENT ON EFRAG'S ASSESSMENTS

Comments should be sent to commentletters@efrag.org by 11 July 2013

EFRAG has been asked by the European Commission to provide it with advice and supporting material on the *Amendments to IAS 39 Novation of Derivatives and Continuation of Hedge Accounting* ('Amendments to IAS 39'). In order to do that, EFRAG has been carrying out an assessment of the Amendments to IAS 39 against the technical criteria for endorsement set out in Regulation (EC) No 1606/2002 and has also been assessing the costs and benefits that would arise from its implementation in the European Union (the EU) and European Economic Area.

A summary of the Amendments to IAS 39 is set out in Appendix 1.

Before finalising its two assessments, EFRAG would welcome your views on the issues set out below. Please note that all responses received will be placed on the public record, unless the respondent requests confidentiality. In the interest of transparency EFRAG will wish to discuss the responses it receives in a public meeting, so we would prefer to be able to publish all the responses received.

EFRAG initial assessments summarised in this questionnaire will be amended to reflect EFRAG's decisions on Appendix 2 and 3.

- 1 Please provide the following details about yourself:
 - (a) Your name or, if you are responding on behalf of an organisation or company, its name:

The Volkswagen Group is one of the world's leading automobile manufacturers and the biggest carmaker in Europe. Our parent company, the VOLKSWAGEN AG is located in Wolfsburg, Germany. The group currently operates 100 production plants in Europe, the Americas, Asia and Africa. Around the world over 550,000 employees produce more than 37,000 vehicles or are involved in vehicle-related services each working day. The Volkswagen Group sells its vehicles in more than 153 countries. With our 100%-owned subsidiary Volkswagen Financial Services AG we are also the largest automobile financial services provider in Europe. As of December 31, 2012, our total balance sheet amounts to €309,644 million and our sales revenue amounts to €192.676 million.

	With our 100%-owned subsidiary Volkswagen Financial Services AG we are also th largest automobile financial services provider in Europe. As of December 31, 2012 our total balance sheet amounts to €309,644 million and our sales revenue amount to €192,676 million.
(b)	Are you a: X Preparer User Other (please specify)
(c)	Please provide a short description of your activity:

	See answer to 1(a).
(d)	Country where you are located:
	See answer to 1(a).
(e)	Contact details including e-mail address:
	Dr. Ingrun-Ulla Bartölke
	Head of Group Accounting
	VOLKSWAGEN AG
	D-38436 Wolfsburg ingrun-ulla.bartoelke@volkswagen.de
tech prin rele	AG's initial assessment of the Amendments to IAS 39 is that they meet the inical criteria for endorsement. In other words, they are not contrary to the ciple of true and fair view and they meet the criteria of understandability, vance, reliability and comparability. EFRAG's reasoning is set out in endix 2. Do you agree with this assessment?
(α)	
	x Yes No
	If you do not, please explain why you do not agree and what you believe the implications of this should be for EFRAG's endorsement advice.
(b)	Are there any issues that are not mentioned in Appendix 2 that you believe
()	EFRAG should take into account in its technical evaluation of the Amendments to IAS 39? If there are, what are those issues and why do you believe they are relevant to the evaluation?
	In our view all issues are mentioned.
FFR	AG is also assessing the costs that are likely to arise for preparers and for

3 EFRAG is also assessing the costs that are likely to arise for preparers and for users on implementation of the Amendments to IAS 39 in the EU, both in year one and in subsequent years. Some initial work has been carried out, and the responses to this Invitation to Comment will be used to complete the assessment.

The results of the initial assessment of costs are set out in paragraphs 5 to 7 of Appendix 3. To summarise, EFRAG's initial assessment is that the Amendments to IAS 39 will not result in increased costs to users, i.e., it is likely to be cost neutral.

Do you agree with this assessment?

Amendments in paragraphs that the overa	FRAG is assessing the benefits that are likely to be derived for IAS 39. The results of the initial assessment of benefits are 2 to 4 of Appendix 3. To summarise, EFRAG's initial assess Il benefits of not derecognising the hedge accounting relationshigh costs associated with providing this information to users.
Do you agree	with this assessment?
x Yes	□No
	agree with this assessment, please provide your argumen nis should affect EFRAG's endorsement advice?
EFRAG's initia	al assessment is that the benefits to be derived from implement to IAS 39 in the EU as described in paragraph 4 above are
EFRAG's initia Amendments outweigh the o	al assessment is that the benefits to be derived from implement to IAS 39 in the EU as described in paragraph 4 above are leasts involved as described in paragraph 3 above.
EFRAG's initiate Amendments outweigh the control of	al assessment is that the benefits to be derived from implement to IAS 39 in the EU as described in paragraph 4 above are
EFRAG's initial Amendments outweigh the control of	al assessment is that the benefits to be derived from implement to IAS 39 in the EU as described in paragraph 4 above are costs involved as described in paragraph 3 above. with this assessment?
EFRAG's initial Amendments outweigh the control of	al assessment is that the benefits to be derived from implement to IAS 39 in the EU as described in paragraph 4 above are costs involved as described in paragraph 3 above. with this assessment? No agree with this assessment, please provide your arguments.

If you do not agree, please provide you affect EFRAG's endorsement advice?	r arguments	and	indicate	how	this	should