DRSC e. V. • Zimmerstr. 30 • 10969 Berlin

EFRAG Roger Marshall 35 Square de Meeûs B-1000 Brussels Telefon +49 (0)30 206412-12

info@drsc.de

Telefax +49 (0)30 206412-15

Berlin, 13 April 2015

F-Mail

Dear Roger,

Belgique

DRAFT ENDORSEMENT ADVICE AND EFFECTS STUDY REPORT ON DISCLOSURE INITIATIVE - AMENDMENTS TO IAS 1

On behalf of the Accounting Standards Committee of Germany (ASCG) I am writing to comment on EFRAG's assessment of the IASB's Disclosure Initiative - Amendments to IAS 1.

We agree with the views set out in the assessment. As a national standard-setter we are not in a position to answer the questions regarding the costs and the benefits that will arise for preparers and for users to implement the amendment. We therefore sent your assessment-form to the DAX30 entities and got feedback from two entities.

One entity does not agree with EFRAG's assessment that the amendments meet the technical criteria for endorsement. The disagreement is mainly based on the view that there is still a lack of guidance for the adoption of materiality. Furthermore, the disagreement highlights cost concerns regarding the implementation of minor changes in the disclosures with low benefits for users instead of improving principally the disclosures under IFRS.

As attachments to this letter you will find our comments to the above mentioned assessment as well as those received from the DAX30 entity.

If you have any further questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours sincerely, Andreas Barckow President



1

This document should be read in conjunction with the accompanying 'Draft Letter to the EC' also posted at the EFRAG's project web page that can be downloaded here. The 'Draft letter to the EC' is made available to enable the public to follow EFRAG's due process. Tentative decisions are reported in EFRAG Update. EFRAG positions as approved by the EFRAG Board are published as comment letters, discussion or position papers or in any other form considered appropriate in the circumstances.

INVITATION TO COMMENT ON EFRAG'S ASSESSMENTS ON DISCLOSURE INITIATIVE – AMENDMENTS TO IAS 1

Comments should be sent to commentletters@efrag.org by [27 March 2015]

EFRAG has been asked by the European Commission to provide it with advice and supporting material on Disclosure Initiative – Amendments to IAS 1 ('the Amendments'). In order to do that, EFRAG has been carrying out an assessment of the Amendments against the technical criteria for endorsement set out in Regulation (EC) No 1606/2002 and has also been assessing the costs and benefits that would arise from its implementation in the European Union (the EU) and European Economic Area.

A summary of the Amendments is set out in Appendix 1 of the accompanying Draft Letter to the EC.

Before finalising its two assessments, EFRAG would welcome your views on the issues set out below. Please note that all responses received will be placed on the public record, unless the respondent requests confidentiality. In the interest of transparency EFRAG will wish to discuss the responses it receives in a public meeting, so we would prefer to be able to publish all the responses received.

EFRAG initial assessments summarised in this questionnaire will be amended to reflect EFRAG's decisions on Appendix 2 and 3 of the accompanying Draft Letter to the EC.

Plea	se provide the following	details	about yourself:			
(a)	Your name or, if you a its name:	re respo	onding on behalf	of an organisa	ation or compa	any,
	Andreas Barckow, Germany)	ASCG	(Accounting	Standards	Committee	of
(b)	Are you a:					
	☐ Preparer ☐ User [Other ■	(please specify)			

National Standard Setter

(c)	Please provide a short description of your activity:
	See above (b)
(d)	Country where you are located:
	Germany
(e)	Contact details including e-mail address:
	Prof. Dr. Andreas Barckow - c/o DRSC e.V.
	Zimmerstr. 30; 10969 Berlin
	barckow@drsc.de
and and	ria for endorsement. In other words, they are not contrary to the principle of true fair view and they meet the criteria of understandability, relevance, reliability comparability. EFRAG's reasoning is set out in Appendix 2 of the ompanying Draft Letter to the EC.
and and acco	fair view and they meet the criteria of understandability, relevance, reliability comparability. EFRAG's reasoning is set out in Appendix 2 of the
and and	fair view and they meet the criteria of understandability, relevance, reliability comparability. EFRAG's reasoning is set out in Appendix 2 of the ompanying Draft Letter to the EC.
and and acco	fair view and they meet the criteria of understandability, relevance, reliability comparability. EFRAG's reasoning is set out in Appendix 2 of the empanying Draft Letter to the EC. Do you agree with this assessment?
and and acco	fair view and they meet the criteria of understandability, relevance, reliability comparability. EFRAG's reasoning is set out in Appendix 2 of the ompanying Draft Letter to the EC. Do you agree with this assessment? Yes No If you do not, please explain why you do not agree and what you believe the

the disclosures under IFRS.

3

4

subsequent y	assessing the costs that are likely to arise for preparers are mentation of the Amendments in the EU, both in year one a ars. Some initial work has been carried out, and the respons Comment will be used to complete the assessment.	nd in
Appendix 3 of initial assessr	he initial assessment of costs are set out in paragraphs 9 and the accompanying Draft Letter to the EC. To summarise, EFF ent is that the Amendments will not result in significant coskely to be cost neutral for preparers.	AG's
Do you agree	vith this assessment?	
☐ Yes	□ No	
	lease explain why you do not and (if possible) explain broadly costs involved will be?	what
	nal standard setter we are not in a position this issue.	n to
The consti	uents responding to this survey agree with EFR	AG's
Amendments. paragraph 14 summarise, E benefit from the in presenting relevance of	RAG is assessing the benefits that are likely to be derived from the results of the initial assessment of benefits are set of Appendix 3 of the accompanying Draft Letter to the ECRAG's initial assessment is that users and preparers are likely Amendments, as they enable entities to exercise more judge and disclosing information and hence result in improving disclosures in the notes to the financial statements and ant information.	out in C. To ely to ment the
Amendments. paragraph 14 summarise, E benefit from tl in presenting relevance of obscuring rele	The results of the initial assessment of benefits are set of Appendix 3 of the accompanying Draft Letter to the ECRAG's initial assessment is that users and preparers are like Amendments, as they enable entities to exercise more judge and disclosing information and hence result in improving disclosures in the notes to the financial statements and	out in C. To ely to ment the
Amendments. paragraph 14 summarise, E benefit from tl in presenting relevance of obscuring rele	The results of the initial assessment of benefits are set of Appendix 3 of the accompanying Draft Letter to the ECRAG's initial assessment is that users and preparers are like Amendments, as they enable entities to exercise more judge and disclosing information and hence result in improving disclosures in the notes to the financial statements and ant information.	out in C. To ely to ment
Amendments. paragraph 14 summarise, Ebenefit from the in presenting relevance of obscuring relevance of obscuring relevance. Do you agree. Yes If you do not	The results of the initial assessment of benefits are set of Appendix 3 of the accompanying Draft Letter to the ECRAG's initial assessment is that users and preparers are like Amendments, as they enable entities to exercise more judge and disclosing information and hence result in improving disclosures in the notes to the financial statements and ant information. with this assessment?	out in C. To ely to ment the avoid
Amendments. paragraph 14 summarise, Ebenefit from the in presenting relevance of obscuring relevance of obscuring relevance. Do you agree. Yes If you do not indicate how the As a national summarise, Ebenefit from the indicate how the summarise from the summarise from the indicate how the indicate h	The results of the initial assessment of benefits are set of Appendix 3 of the accompanying Draft Letter to the ECRAG's initial assessment is that users and preparers are like Amendments, as they enable entities to exercise more judge and disclosing information and hence result in improving disclosures in the notes to the financial statements and ant information. with this assessment? No agree with this assessment, please provide your arguments	ut in C. To ely to ment the avoid

Yes	ith this assessment? ☐ No	
	agree with this assessment, please provide your arguments as should affect EFRAG's endorsement advice?	and
As a nation comment on t	al standard setter we are not in a position this issue.	to
The constitution assessment.	ents responding to this survey agree with EFRAG	3'S
effects beyond	assessment is that the Amendments are not expected to hathe improvement they bring to financial reporting with a favourable off and therefore they are assessed as being conducive to good.	ble
Do you agree w	ith this assessment?	
⊠ Yes	□ No	
reaching a dec	aware of any other factors that should be taken into account ision as to what endorsement advice it should give the Europe the Amendments.	
Do you agree th	at there are no other factors?	
⊠ Yes	□ No	
	gree, please provide your arguments and indicate how this sho endorsement advice?	oulc



1

This document should be read in conjunction with the accompanying 'Draft Letter to the EC' also posted at the EFRAG's project web page that can be downloaded here. The 'Draft letter to the EC' is made available to enable the public to follow EFRAG's due process. Tentative decisions are reported in EFRAG Update. EFRAG positions as approved by the EFRAG Board are published as comment letters, discussion or position papers or in any other form considered appropriate in the circumstances.

INVITATION TO COMMENT ON EFRAG'S ASSESSMENTS ON DISCLOSURE INITIATIVE – AMENDMENTS TO IAS 1

Comments should be sent to commentletters@efrag.org by [27 March 2015]

EFRAG has been asked by the European Commission to provide it with advice and supporting material on Disclosure Initiative – Amendments to IAS 1 ('the Amendments'). In order to do that, EFRAG has been carrying out an assessment of the Amendments against the technical criteria for endorsement set out in Regulation (EC) No 1606/2002 and has also been assessing the costs and benefits that would arise from its implementation in the European Union (the EU) and European Economic Area.

A summary of the Amendments is set out in Appendix 1 of the accompanying Draft Letter to the EC.

Before finalising its two assessments, EFRAG would welcome your views on the issues set out below. Please note that all responses received will be placed on the public record, unless the respondent requests confidentiality. In the interest of transparency EFRAG will wish to discuss the responses it receives in a public meeting, so we would prefer to be able to publish all the responses received.

EFRAG initial assessments summarised in this questionnaire will be amended to reflect EFRAG's decisions on Appendix 2 and 3 of the accompanying Draft Letter to the EC.

Plea	ase provide the following details about yourself:
(a)	Your name or, if you are responding on behalf of an organisation or company, its name:
	BMW Group
(b)	Are you a:
	□ Preparer □ User □ Other (please specify)

	Please provide	a short description of your activity:
	Automotive	industry including financial services
(d)	Country where	you are located:
	Germany (He	adquarter), worldwide operating company
(e)	Contact details	including e-mail address:
	BMW Group	
	Petuelring	130, 80788 Munich, Germany
	Jonathan.To leif.steege	wnend@bmw.de;Markus.leo@bmw.de; r@bmw.de
and and	I fair view and the comparability. Companying Draft	ent. In other words, they are not contrary to the principle of true ley meet the criteria of understandability, relevance, reliability EFRAG's reasoning is set out in Appendix 2 of the Letter to the EC. with this assessment?
	☐ Yes	
	□ 162	⊠ No
	If you do not, p	No No
	If you do not, primplications of The criter fulfilled sadoption of period only of guidance conflicts with the conflicts of the conflict of the conflic	please explain why you do not agree and what you believe the this should be for EFRAG's endorsement advice. ion of comparability may not be completely ince there is still a lack of guidance for the materiality (e.g. consideration of current past periods or future periods). This lack e could lead to uncertainty and risk of with auditors and enforcement agencies. As a panies may tend to continue their current
(b)	If you do not, primplications of the criter fulfilled sadoption of period only of guidance conflicts we result compractice, objectives. Are there an accompanying account in its temporal constant.	please explain why you do not agree and what you believe the this should be for EFRAG's endorsement advice. ion of comparability may not be completely ince there is still a lack of guidance for the materiality (e.g. consideration of current past periods or future periods). This lack e could lead to uncertainty and risk of with auditors and enforcement agencies. As a panies may tend to continue their current

	with	low	lement cost benefits the disclo	for user	s inste		improvin
users subs	s on imp equent y	olementa years. S	essing the costation of the Albame initial wo	mendments i ork has been	n the EU, b carried ou	ooth in ye t, and the	ar one and i
Appe initia	endix 3 c I assess	of the acoment is	tial assessment accompanying I athat the Amo and be cost neut	Oraft Letter to endments wil	the EC. T I not result	o summai	rise, EFRAG
Do y	ou agree	with thi	is assessment	?			
⊠ Y	es		☐ No				
Ame parag sum bene in p relev	ndments graph 14 marise, I fit from t resenting ance of	The race of Aperical Aperical Approximation of the Americal Approximation of the Approximatio	is assessing the results of the opendix 3 of s initial assess endments, as disclosing infigures in the formation.	initial asses the accompa sment is tha they enable o ormation and	ssment of I anying Draft tusers and entities to ea d hence re	benefits a t Letter to d preparer xercise mo esult in i	re set out in the EC. The sare likely to the province in the the same in the s
Do v	ou agree	with thi	is assessment	?			
ро у							
⊠ Y	es		☐ No				

Amendments	ial assessment is that the benefits to be derived from implementing the sin the EU as described in paragraph 4 above are likely to outweigh olved as described in paragraph 3 above.
Do you agree	e with this assessment?
⊠ Yes	□ No
	ot agree with this assessment, please provide your arguments and this should affect EFRAG's endorsement advice?
effects beyor	tial assessment is that the Amendments are not expected to have
cost/benefit t European pu	trade off and therefore they are assessed as being conducive to the blic good.
Do you agree	e with this assessment?
⊠ Yes	□ No
If you do not a	agree with this assessment, please provide your reasons.
reaching a d	ot aware of any other factors that should be taken into account in lecision as to what endorsement advice it should give the Europear on the Amendments.
reaching a d Commission	lecision as to what endorsement advice it should give the Europear
reaching a d Commission	lecision as to what endorsement advice it should give the Europear on the Amendments.



1

This document should be read in conjunction with the accompanying 'Draft Letter to the EC' also posted at the EFRAG's project web page that can be downloaded here. The 'Draft letter to the EC' is made available to enable the public to follow EFRAG's due process. Tentative decisions are reported in EFRAG Update. EFRAG positions as approved by the EFRAG Board are published as comment letters, discussion or position papers or in any other form considered appropriate in the circumstances.

INVITATION TO COMMENT ON EFRAG'S ASSESSMENTS ON DISCLOSURE INITIATIVE – AMENDMENTS TO IAS 1

Comments should be sent to commentletters@efrag.org by [27 March 2015]

EFRAG has been asked by the European Commission to provide it with advice and supporting material on Disclosure Initiative – Amendments to IAS 1 ('the Amendments'). In order to do that, EFRAG has been carrying out an assessment of the Amendments against the technical criteria for endorsement set out in Regulation (EC) No 1606/2002 and has also been assessing the costs and benefits that would arise from its implementation in the European Union (the EU) and European Economic Area.

A summary of the Amendments is set out in Appendix 1 of the accompanying Draft Letter to the EC.

Before finalising its two assessments, EFRAG would welcome your views on the issues set out below. Please note that all responses received will be placed on the public record, unless the respondent requests confidentiality. In the interest of transparency EFRAG will wish to discuss the responses it receives in a public meeting, so we would prefer to be able to publish all the responses received.

EFRAG initial assessments summarised in this questionnaire will be amended to reflect EFRAG's decisions on Appendix 2 and 3 of the accompanying Draft Letter to the EC.

PI	ease provide the following details about yo	urself:
(a	Your name or, if you are responding or its name:	n behalf of an organisation or company,
	Infineon Technologies AG	
(b	Are you a:	

tures and d system ndustrial
he technical nciple of true ce, reliability 2 of the
u believe the

Page 2 of 5

3

4

account in its technical evaluation of the Amendments? If there are, what are those issues and why do you believe they are relevant to the evaluation?

users on impl subsequent ye	assessing the costs that are likely to arise for preparers and f nentation of the Amendments in the EU, both in year one and rs. Some initial work has been carried out, and the responses Comment will be used to complete the assessment.
Appendix 3 of initial assessr	e initial assessment of costs are set out in paragraphs 9 and 12 ne accompanying Draft Letter to the EC. To summarise, EFRAG nt is that the Amendments will not result in significant costs fely to be cost neutral for preparers.
Do you agree	th this assessment?
⊠ Yes	□ No
	ease explain why you do not and (if possible) explain broadly wh
Amendments. paragraph 14 summarise, E benefit from the in presenting relevance of	AG is assessing the benefits that are likely to be derived from the results of the initial assessment of benefits are set out of Appendix 3 of the accompanying Draft Letter to the EC. RAG's initial assessment is that users and preparers are likely Amendments, as they enable entities to exercise more judgement disclosing information and hence result in improving the sclosures in the notes to the financial statements and avoint information.
Amendments. paragraph 14 summarise, E benefit from the in presenting relevance of obscuring relevance relevance relevance relevance of obscuring relevance r	AG is assessing the benefits that are likely to be derived from the results of the initial assessment of benefits are set out of Appendix 3 of the accompanying Draft Letter to the EC. RAG's initial assessment is that users and preparers are likely Amendments, as they enable entities to exercise more judgement of disclosing information and hence result in improving the sclosures in the notes to the financial statements and avoid
Amendments. paragraph 14 summarise, E benefit from the in presenting relevance of obscuring relevance relevance relevance relevance of obscuring relevance r	AG is assessing the benefits that are likely to be derived from the results of the initial assessment of benefits are set out of Appendix 3 of the accompanying Draft Letter to the EC. RAG's initial assessment is that users and preparers are likely Amendments, as they enable entities to exercise more judgement disclosing information and hence result in improving the sclosures in the notes to the financial statements and avoint information.

Amendment	tial assessment is that the benefits to be derived from implementing the s in the EU as described in paragraph 4 above are likely to outweigh olved as described in paragraph 3 above.
Do you agre	e with this assessment?
⊠ Yes	□ No
	ot agree with this assessment, please provide your arguments and this should affect EFRAG's endorsement advice?
effects beyo	itial assessment is that the Amendments are not expected to have and the improvement they bring to financial reporting with a favourable trade off and therefore they are assessed as being conducive to the ablic good.
	e with this assessment?
⊠ Yes	□ No
If you do not	agree with this assessment, please provide your reasons.
reaching a	not aware of any other factors that should be taken into account in decision as to what endorsement advice it should give the European on the Amendments.
	e that there are no other factors?
	□ No