



DRSC e. V. • Zimmerstr. 30 • 10969 Berlin

Mr Hans Hoogervorst  
Chairman of the  
International Accounting Standards Board  
Columbus Building  
7 Westferry Circus / Canary Wharf  
London E14 4HD

**IFRS Technical Committee**

Phone: +49 (0)30 206412-12

E-Mail: [info@drsc.de](mailto:info@drsc.de)

Berlin, 25 October 2019

Dear Hans,

**IASB ED/2019/5 *Deferred Tax related to Assets and Liabilities arising from a Single Transaction – Proposed Amendments to IAS 12***

On behalf of the Accounting Standards Committee of Germany (ASCG) I am writing to comment on the IASB's ED/2019/5 *Deferred Tax related to Assets and Liabilities arising from a Single Transaction – Proposed Amendments to IAS 12* (herein referred to as the 'ED'). We appreciate the opportunity to comment on this ED.

Overall, we support the IASB's intention to clarify the accounting for deferred tax on transactions that give rise to both an asset and a liability and, therefore, to amend IAS 12 narrowly. We agree with the Board's conclusion that the recognition exemption is not needed on initial recognition of an asset or a liability to the extent that an entity would recognise equal and offsetting amounts of deferred tax assets and liabilities related to this asset or liability. Thus, we support the proposed solution to narrow the application of the recognition exemption so that it would not apply to the transactions addressed in the ED. Doing so, we think, will reduce diversity in practice for such transactions.

Notwithstanding our general support we offer some considerations about the proposed requirements in para. 22A.

Our detailed comments in response to the ED are laid out in the appendix to this letter. If you would like to discuss our comments further, please do not hesitate to contact Olga Bultmann ([bultmann@drsc.de](mailto:bultmann@drsc.de)) or me.

Yours sincerely,

*Andreas Barckow*

President

**Contact:**

Zimmerstr. 30 D-10969 Berlin  
(via Markgrafenstr.19a)  
Phone: +49 (0)30 206412-0  
Fax: +49 (0)30 206412-15  
E-Mail: [info@drsc.de](mailto:info@drsc.de)

**Bank Details:**

Deutsche Bank Berlin  
IBAN-Nr.  
DE26 1007 0000 0070 0781 00  
BIC (Swift-Code)  
DEUTDE33XXX

**Register of Associations:**

District Court Berlin-Charlottenburg, VR 18526 Nz  
**President:**  
Prof. Dr. Andreas Barckow  
**Executive Director:**  
Prof. Dr. Sven Morich

**Appendix – Answers to the question of the ED and related proposals****Question**

Do you agree with the Board's proposal to amend IAS 12 in the manner described in the Exposure Draft? If not, why not, and what do you recommend instead?

We are aware of the diversity in practice with respect to the accounting for deferred taxes related to transactions that give rise to equal amounts of taxable and deductible temporary differences. We also confirm that this diversity becomes more prevalent under IFRS 16. Hence, we fully support the IASB's decision to clarify this issue through narrow scope amendments to IAS 12.

Overall, we support the solution proposed by the IASB to require an entity to recognise deferred taxes for temporary differences that arise on the transactions that give rise to equal and offsetting amounts of taxable and deductible temporary differences on initial recognition. We fully agree with the Board's conclusion that the recognition exemption is not needed on initial recognition of an asset or a liability to the extent that an entity would recognise equal and offsetting amounts of deferred tax assets and liabilities related to this asset or liability. This is because an entity would typically offset these deferred tax assets and liabilities in the statement of financial position and, therefore, would not be required to adjust the carrying amounts of the related asset or liability. Thus, we agree with the proposed solution to narrow the application of the recognition exemption so that it would not apply to the transactions addressed in the ED, as it would reduce diversity in practice for such transactions. Further, we agree with the proposed transition requirements.

Notwithstanding our general support we offer some considerations about the proposed requirements in para. 22A, which we explain below.

**Ability to recognise deferred tax assets**

The proposed para. 22A(b) requires an entity to cap the deferred tax liability to the amount of the deferred tax asset arising from the same transaction on initial recognition. In other words, the amount of the recognised deferred tax asset determines the amount of the deferred tax liability arising from the same transaction. Para. B24 explains that the recognition exemption would continue to apply to the part of the deferred tax liability that exceeds the amount of the corresponding deferred tax asset. We understand this to be a pragmatic solution to avoid adjusting the carrying amount of the related asset by this excess amount or recognising the day one loss for this amount, and thus, to meet the objective of the recognition exemption, which is explained para. 22(c) of IAS 12.

However, it remains unclear whether an entity should continue to cap the amount of the deferred tax liability to the corresponding amount of the deferred tax asset in subsequent periods. From the proposed wording in para. 22A – "In that situation, on initial recognition of the transaction, an entity recognises: [...]" – it could be inferred that the cap relates only to the initial recognition. If so, an entity would recognise an amount of the deferred tax liability which exceeds the amount of the corresponding deferred tax asset and, consequently, a deferred tax expense in profit or loss according to para. 58 of IAS 12 in subsequent periods. On the other hand, and according to para. 22(c) of IAS 12, the initial recognition exemption applies to both, the date of initial recognition, and subsequent periods. Therefore, we recommend the IASB clarify the application of the proposed para. 22A in subsequent periods and to provide an illustrative example.



As correctly stated in para. BC19, equal taxable and deductible temporary differences might result in an entity recognising unequal amounts of deferred tax assets and liabilities at initial recognition. However, we feel that the Board considered only one cause leading to this situation - the recoverability requirement according to para. 24 of IAS 12. If an entity does not meet the recoverability requirement and, therefore, does not recognise or only partially recognises the deferred tax asset, the amount of the deferred tax asset would be lower than the amount of the deferred tax liability. Thus, when applying the proposed para. 22A(b), an entity would cap the amount of the deferred tax liability to the amount of the recognised deferred tax asset. However, there could be other reasons for having unequal amounts of deferred tax assets and liabilities, which are not addressed in the ED, for example, when tax rates are expected to change in the future. The amount of the deferred tax asset can even exceed the amount of the deferred tax liability. Therefore, we urge the Board to clarify in the main body of the standard how an entity shall apply the initial recognition exemption to any portion of the deferred tax liability that exceeds the deferred tax asset and vice versa regardless of the reason for that situation.

#### Reassessment of unrecognised deferred tax assets

Paras. BC25 and BC 26 of the ED explain the reasons why the proposed amendments do not address the reassessment of unrecognised deferred tax assets. While we agree with these explanations, we fail to see how an entity would have to account for the portion of the deferred tax liability that it did not recognise applying the proposed requirement in para. 22A(b), if it subsequently reassessed the unrecognised deferred tax asset from the same transaction in accordance with para. 37 of IAS 12.

For these reasons, we recommend the Board to reconsider the provisions of para. 22A.