Deutsches Rechnungslegungs Standards Committee e.V.

Accounting Standards Committee of Germany



ASCG • Joachimsthaler Str. 34 • 10719 Berlin

IFRS Technical Committee

Phone: +49 (0)30 206412-12

E-Mail: info@drsc.de

Jean-Paul Gauzès EFRAG Board President 35 Square de Meeûs B-1000 Brussels

Berlin, 11 January 2021

Dear Jean-Paul,

EFRAG's Draft Letter regarding Endorsement of the Classification of Liabilities as Current or Non-current and Deferral of Effective Date (Amendments to IAS 1)

On behalf of the Accounting Standards Committee of Germany (ASCG) I am writing to comment on EFRAG's Draft Letter to the European Commission Regarding Endorsement of the Classification of Liabilities as Current or Non-current and Deferral of Effective Date (Amendments to IAS 1).

We support EFRAG's assessment to recommend *Classification of Liabilities as Current or Non-current* and *Deferral of Effective Date (Amendments to IAS 1)* for endorsement.

Like EFRAG, we consider that the Amendments meet the qualitative characteristics of relevance, reliability, comparability and understandability required to support economic decisions and the assessment of stewardship, lead to prudent accounting, and that they are not contrary to the true and fair view principle. We also agree that the Amendments are conducive to the European public good.

We note, that the IFRS Interpretations Committee discussed in its December 2020 meeting how an entity applies the IAS 1 amendments to particular fact patterns. The IFRS IC concluded that the principles and requirements in IFRS Standards provide an adequate basis for the entity to determine how to classify the loan as current or non-current in the three fact patterns described in the tentative agenda decision.

Like the IFRS Interpretations Committee, we believe that paragraphs 72A and 75 of IAS 1 are clear as regards the classification of liabilities as current or non-current, when the liability is subject to a condition (such as covenants) and the borrower's compliance with the condition is tested at dates after the end of the reporting period. Although the application of the principles and requirements of the IAS 1 Amendment may lead to counter-intuitive results with regard to the classification of liabilities, we are of the opinion that paragraphs 72A and 75 of IAS 1 are clear. Therefore, we support EFRAG's assessment to recommend *Classification of Liabilities*

Prof Dr Sven Morich

Deutsches Rechnungslegungs Standards Committee e.V.

Accounting Standards Committee of Germany



as Current or Non-current and Deferral of Effective Date (Amendments to IAS 1) for endorsement.

If you would like to discuss our comments further, please do not hesitate to contact me (morich@drsc.de).

Yours sincerely,

Sven Morich

Executive Director



INVITATION TO COMMENT ON EFRAG'S ASSESSMENTS ON CLASSIFICATION OF LIABILITIES AS CURRENT OR NON-CURRENT: DEFERRAL OF EFFECTIVE DATE

Once filled in, this form should be submitted by 11 January 2021 using the 'Comment publication link' available at the bottom of the respective news item. All open consultations can be found on EFRAG's web site: Open consultations: express your views.

EFRAG has been asked by the European Commission to provide it with advice and supporting material on Classification of Liabilities as Current or Non-current: Deferral of Effective Date (the Amendments). In order to do so, EFRAG has been carrying out an assessment of the Amendments against the technical criteria for endorsement set out in Regulation (EC) No 1606/2002 and has also been assessing the costs and benefits that would arise from their implementation in the European Union (the EU) and European Economic Area.

A summary of the Amendments is set out in Appendix 1.2 of the accompanying *Draft Letter* to the European Commission regarding endorsement of the Amendments.

Before finalising its assessment, EFRAG would welcome your views on the issues set out below. Please note that all responses received will be placed on the public record, unless the respondent requests confidentiality. In the interests of transparency, EFRAG will wish to discuss the responses it receives in a public meeting, so it is preferable that all responses can be published.

EFRAG's initial assessments, summarised in this questionnaire, will be updated for comments received from constituents when EFRAG is in the process of finalising its *Letter to the European Commission* regarding endorsement of the Amendments.

Your details

1

Plea	se provide the following details:					
(a)	Your name or, if you are responding on behalf of an organisation or company its name:					
	Accounting Standards Committee of Germany (ASCG)					
(b)	Are you a:					
	☐ Preparer ☐ User ☒ Other (please specify)					
	National Standard Setter					
(c)	Please provide a short description of your activity:					
(d)	Country where you are located:					
	Germany					

Classification of Liabilities as Current or Non-current: Deferral of Effective Date Invitation to Comment on EFRAG's Initial Assessments

EFRA criter princ reliat in Ap	initial assessment with respect to the technical criteria for endorsement AG's initial assessment of the Amendments is that they meet the technical ria for endorsement. In other words, the Amendments are not contrary to the siple of true and fair view and meet the criteria of understandability, relevance collity, comparability and lead to prudent accounting. EFRAG's reasoning is set our opendix 2.2 of the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i> arding endorsement of the Amendments. Do you agree with this assessment?							
EFR/ criter princ reliat in Ap regal	AG's initial assessment of the Amendments is that they meet the technical for endorsement. In other words, the Amendments are not contrary to the siple of true and fair view and meet the criteria of understandability, relevance bility, comparability and lead to prudent accounting. EFRAG's reasoning is set our opendix 2.2 of the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i> right reding endorsement of the Amendments. Do you agree with this assessment?							
criter princ reliat in Ap regal	ria for endorsement. In other words, the Amendments are not contrary to the ciple of true and fair view and meet the criteria of understandability, relevance collity, comparability and lead to prudent accounting. EFRAG's reasoning is set our opendix 2.2 of the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i> riding endorsement of the Amendments. Do you agree with this assessment?							
(a)	, -							
	Yvaa Na							
	△Tes □ No							
	If you do not agree, please provide your arguments and what you believe the implications of this could be for EFRAG's endorsement advice.							
(b) <i>i</i>	Are there any issues that are not mentioned in Appendix 2.2 of the accompanying Draft Letter to the European Commission regarding endorsement of the Amendments that you believe EFRAG should take into account in its technical evaluation of the Amendments? If there are, what are those issues and why do you believe they are relevant to the evaluation?							
urop	pean public good							
In its assessment of the impact of the Amendments on the European public good EFRAG has considered a number of issues that are addressed in Appendix 3.2 of the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i> regarding endorsement of the Amendments.								
veme	ent in financial reporting							
EFRAG has identified that in assessing whether the endorsement of Amendments is conducive to the European public good it should consider whether Amendments are an improvement over current requirements across the areas whave been subject to changes (see paragraphs 3 to 4 of Appendix 3.2 of accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i>). To summarise, EFRA initial assessment is that the Amendments are likely to improve the quality of finan reporting.								
Do you agree with the assessment?								
XY	es No							
	u do not agree, please provide your arguments and indicate how this could affect AG's endorsement advice.							
I LEat V EARIT C	uropen its EFR. Aucco he A veme exacco nitia report Do y							

Classification of Liabilities as Current or Non-current: Deferral of Effective Date Invitation to Comment on EFRAG's Initial Assessments

Costs and benefits

5	EFRAG is also assessing the costs that are likely to arise for preparers and for users on implementation of the Amendments in the EU, both in year one and in subsequent years. Some initial work has been carried out, and the responses to this invitation to comment will be used to complete the assessment.						
	The results of the initial assessment of costs are set out in paragraphs 6 to 10 of Appendix 3.2 of the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i> regarding endorsement of the Amendments. To summarise, EFRAG's initial assessment is that the Amendments will not result in increased costs and that it is likely to be cost neutral.						
	Do you agree with this assessment?						
	☐ Yes ☐ No						
	If you do not, please explain why you do not and (if possible) explain broadly what you believe the costs involved will be?						
	As a National Standard-Setter we are not in a position to comment on this issue.						
6	In addition, EFRAG is assessing the benefits that are likely to be derived from the Amendments. The results of the initial assessment of benefits are set out in paragraphs 11 to 14 of Appendix 3.2 of the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i> regarding endorsement of the Amendments. To summarise, EFRAG's initial assessment is that users are likely to benefit from the Amendments as the information resulting from it will remove inconsistency and increase comparability between entities and therefore enhance their analysis.						
	Do you agree with this assessment?						
	If you do not agree with this assessment, please provide your arguments and indicate how this could affect EFRAG's endorsement advice.						
7	EFRAG's initial assessment is that the benefits to be derived from implementing the Amendments in the EU, as described in paragraph 6 above, are likely to outweigh the costs involved, as described in paragraph 5 above.						
	Do you agree with this assessment?						
	If you do not agree with this assessment, please provide your arguments and indicate how this could affect EFRAG's endorsement advice.						
Ove	rall assessment with respect to the European public good						

8 EFRAG has initially concluded that endorsement of the Amendments would be conducive to the European public good (see paragraphs 16 to 19 of Appendix 3.2 of the accompanying *Draft Letter to the European Commission*).

Do you agree with this conclusion?

X Yes	□No					
lf you do n	ot agree,	please expl	ain your rea	asons.		

Classification of Liabilities as Current or Non-current: Deferral of Effective Date Invitation to Comment on EFRAG's Initial Assessments